

Comments on the paper” Numerical study of hydromagnetic radiative stagnation point flow of nanofluid across a curved surface by Khan et al. [1]”

Ioan Pop*

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Babeş-Bolyai University, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

IJASR 2022

VOLUME 5

ISSUE 3 MAY – JUNE

ISSN: 2581-7876

Abstract: The present comment refers to some doubtful results included in the paper”Numerical study of hydromagnetic radiative stagnation point flow of nanofluid across a curved surface by Khan et al. [1]”.

Keywords: nanofluid, stagnation point, curved surface, hydromagnetic, radiation

Introduction

Convective heat transfer is the fundamental heat transmission process in a fluid flow. Convection is improvable by modifying the boundary conditions, the geometry, or by increasing the thermal conductivity. Therefore, nanometer-sized particles were established by Choi [2] in (1995) to industrial fluids, known as "Nanofluids", extended also by Buongiorno [3]. Khan and Pop [4], reported, for the first time, the stretched sheet of nanofluid using Buongiorno’s nanofluid model. Excellent on nanofluid can get in the books by Shenoy et al. [5] and Merkin et al. [6]. The significance of thermal radiation in many industrial and engineering processes like electric power, nondestructive testing, solar cell panels, and many others is vital. Therefore, it is crucially to comprehend the aspect of thermal radiation to attain the desired quality of products in industrial processes (see Owhail et al. [7]).

My comments on the paper by Khan et al. [1], are the following;

1. Equation 3 is **wrongly** presented: it is missed the term $u_e \partial u_e / \partial s$
2. The magnetic term in Eq. 3 should be:

$$- \frac{\sigma_{nf} B_0^2}{\rho_{nf}} (u - u_e)$$

and in Eqs. (4) and (8), the Joule heating term should be:

$$+ \frac{\sigma_{nf} B_0^2}{(\rho C_p)_{nf}} (u - u_e)^2$$

due to the boundary condition $u_e(s) \rightarrow a s$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$.

3. In order that Eqs. (1) to (4) in Khan et al. [1], have similarity solutions, the temperature of the surface of the sheet $T_w(s)$, should be maintained at the temperature $T_w(s) = T_\infty + T_0(s/l)^2$, where T_0 is a characteristic temperature of the base nanofluid, with $T_0 > 0$ for the heated nanofluid, $T_0 < 0$ for the cooled nanofluid and l is the characteristic length of the sheet, while T_∞ is the free stream temperature.

Therefore, Eqs. (14) and (15) from Khan et al. [1] should be:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_{nf}} \frac{2K}{\eta + K} &= \frac{\nu_{nf}}{\nu_f} \left[f''' - \frac{1}{(\eta + K)^2} (f' - 1) + \frac{1}{\eta + K} f'' \right] - \frac{K}{\eta + K} (1 - f')^2 + \\ &\frac{K}{\eta + K} f f'' + \frac{K}{(\eta + K)^2} f f' - M^2 \frac{\sigma_{nf}}{\sigma_f} \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_{nf}} (f' - 1) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Pr} \frac{k_{nf}}{k_f} \frac{(\rho C_p)_f}{(\rho C_p)_{nf}} \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} Rd \right) \left(\theta'' + \frac{1}{\eta + K} \theta' \right) + \frac{K}{\eta + K} (f \theta' - 2f' \theta) + \\ \frac{\mu_{nf}}{\mu_f} \frac{(\rho C_p)_f}{(\rho C_p)_{nf}} Ec \left(f'' - \frac{f' - 1}{\eta + K} \right)^2 + M^2 Ec \frac{(\rho C_p)_f}{(\rho C_p)_{nf}} \frac{\sigma_{nf}}{\sigma_f} (1 - f')^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Subject to the boundary conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = \lambda = \frac{c}{a}, \quad \theta(0) = 1 \\ f'(\eta) \rightarrow 1, \quad f''(\eta) \rightarrow 0, \quad \theta(\eta) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \right\}$$

It is clear that if the surface temperature is constant (T_w), it means that the problem treated in [1] is non-similar, so that the partial differential equations (1) to (4) subject to the boundary conditions (9) and (10) cannot be reduced to the ordinary (similarity) differential equations (16) and (17) subject to the boundary conditions (18). However, the authors ignored this fact and treated the problem as similar. In non-similar problems, in contrast to similar problems, the basic flow quantities change along the streamwise direction, as it has been shown by Minkowycz and Cheng [8], Minkowycz and Sparrow [9], and Pantokratoras [10,11], who solved numerically several boundary layer non-similar problems.

Therefore, the results of this paper are **completely wrong!**

References

1. Khan, M.R., Sidi, M.O., Rahman & Galal, A.A.A.M. (2022). Numerical study of hydromagnetic radiative stagnation point flow of nanofluid across a curved surface. *Plose One* (submitted).
2. Choi, S.U.S. (1995). Enhancing thermal conductivity of fluids with nanoparticles. In: *Proceedings of the 1995 ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition, FED 231/MD 66, 99–105*.
3. Buongiorno, J. (2005). Convective transport in nanofluids. *ASME Journal of Heat Transfer* 128, 240- 250.
4. Khan, W.A., Pop, I. (2010). Boundary-layer flow of a nanofluid past a stretching sheet. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 53, 2s477- 2483.
5. Shenoy, A., Sheremet, M.A., Pop, I. (2016). Flow and Heat Transfer past Wavy Surfaces: Viscous Fluids, Porous Media and Nanofluids. *CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, New York*.
6. Merkin, J.H., Pop, I., Lok, Y.Y., Grosan, T. (2021). Similarity Solutions for the Boundary Layer Flow and Heat Transfer of Viscous Fluids, Nanofluids, Porous Media, and Micropolar Fluids. Elsevier, Oxford, UK.
7. Owhaib, W., Basavarajappa, M., Al-Kouz, W. (2021). Radiation effects on 3D rotating flow of Cu-water nanofluid with viscous heating and prescribed heat flux using modified Buongiorno model. *Scientific Reports* 11, 20669.
8. Minkowycz, W.J. & Cheng, P. (1982). Local non-similar solutions for free

22. convective flow with uniform lateral mass flux in a porous medium. *Letter*
23. *Heat Mass Transfer* 9, 159–68.
24. Minkowycz, W.J. & Sparrow, E.M. (1978). Numerical solution scheme for
25. local nonsimilarity boundary-layer analysis. *Numerical Heat Transfer* 1, 69–
26. 85.
27. Pantokratoras, A. (2009). The nonsimilar laminar wall plume in a constant
28. transverse magnetic field. *International Journal Heat Mass Transfer* 52,
29. 3873–3878.
30. Pantokratoras, A. (2017). Comment on the paper “On Cattaneo–Christov
31. heat flux model for Carreau fluid flow over a slendering sheet, Hashim,
32. Masood Khan, *Results in Physics* 7 (2017) 310–319”. *Results in Physics* 7,
33. 1504–1505.